

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RONALD L. DUCKSWORTH,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV-S-05-0669 LKK KJM P

12 vs.

13 TOM L. CAREY, et al.,

14 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Court documents were recently served on plaintiff's address of record and  
17 returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-  
18 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address  
19 change.

20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for  
21 plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f)  
22 and 11-110.

23 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District  
24 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty  
25 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
26 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: April 25, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
duck0669.33a